



0143421

TECH LIBRARY KAFB, NM

# RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF HEAT REQUIREMENTS FOR ICING  
PROTECTION OF RADOMES

By James P. Lewis

Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory  
Cleveland, Ohio

AFMDC  
TECHNICAL LIBRARY  
AFL 2811

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
FOR AERONAUTICS

WASHINGTON  
March 11, 1953



0143421

1W

NACA RM E53A22

## NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

## AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF HEAT REQUIREMENTS FOR ICING

## PROTECTION OF RADOMES

By James P. Lewis

## SUMMARY

The heat requirements for the icing protection of two radome configurations have been studied over a range of design icing conditions. Both the protection limits of a typical thermal protection system and the relative effects of the various icing variables have been determined. For full evaporation of all impinging water, an effective heat density of 14 watts per square inch was required. When a combination of the full evaporation and running-wet surface systems was employed, a heat requirement of 5 watts per square inch provided protection at severe icing and operating conditions.

## INTRODUCTION

Radar is becoming of increasing importance in the design and operation of aircraft. Successful operation of these aircraft demands that the performance of the radar system including the radome be unimpaired by environmental factors including icing conditions. Recent experimental investigations have shown that radomes mounted in the nose of an aircraft are very susceptible to icing and that this radome icing has serious effects on the radar operation resulting from a marked decrease in the transmission efficiency and a deflection of the radar beam. Protection of the radome against icing, therefore, is required. This protection can be achieved in several ways, including: applying a temperature-depressant material to the radome surface, or heating the radome surface sufficiently to prevent the formation of ice. This report will consider only the thermal protection method.

The determination of the heating requirements and the performance of a thermal icing protection system requires many complex calculations. Much of the basic information required for such a calculation, particularly for a body such as a radome operating at high speeds, is not always readily available nor in a form directly applicable for engineering design purposes. For these reasons, the performance of a radome thermal icing protection system has been studied at assumed operational and icing conditions. The objectives of this study, which was conducted at the

2842

NACA Lewis laboratory, were to determine the protection requirements for a typical thermal icing protection system and to study the relative effects of the various icing variables on these protection requirements.

### ANALYSIS

This investigation is divided into two parts: (1) a study of protection requirements for complete evaporation of all the water impinging upon the radome, resulting in a dry surface, and (2) a study of protection requirements for the case of a running-wet condition, that is, only sufficient heat is supplied to maintain the coldest point on the surface of the radome at 32° F and thus prevent the formation of ice on the radome. In this latter method, the excess water not evaporated from the radome surface will flow aft and freeze on the unheated portions of the aircraft.

#### Evaporation of Impinging Water

For the case of complete evaporation of all the impinging water, the heat- and mass-transfer relations given in references 1 and 2 may be written in the following form

$$h_{av}(t_s - t_0) + 2.82 L h_{av} K(e_s/p_1 - e_0/p_0) + c_w m_{av}(t_s - t_0) \\ = \frac{h_{av} V_0^2}{2gJc_p} \left[ 1 - (V_1/V_0)^2 (1-r) \right] + \frac{m_{av} V_0^2}{2gJ} + q \quad (1)$$

All symbols are defined in appendix A. In order to simplify the calculations, average values are used in this equation rather than calculations made at local points all over the radome surface and integration of these results. In addition, the heat quantities are the heat requirements at the radome surface and do not represent the heat-source requirement.

The heat required for evaporation is

$$q_e = m_{av} L \quad (2)$$

The heat available for evaporation is

$$q_e = 2.82 h_{av} L(e_s/p_1 - e_0/p_0) \quad (3)$$

For complete evaporation equation (2) must equal equation (3), or

$$2.82 (e_s/p_1 - e_o/p_o) = m_{av}/h_{av} \quad (4)$$

The average rate of water impingement is given by

$$m_{av} = 0.3296 E_m V_o A_p/A_s w \quad (5)$$

The collection efficiency  $E_m$  is defined as the ratio of the amount of water actually impinging upon a body to the amount of cloud water which would be swept out by the area of the body projected in the flight direction. This collection efficiency is a function of body size and shape, airspeed, temperature, pressure, and water droplet size. The values of collection efficiency used in this analysis were obtained from the data of reference 3 and unpublished experimental data.

The latent heat of vaporization  $L$  was taken as 1060 Btu per pound,  $K$  as 1.0,  $V_1$  as  $0.87 V_o$ , and  $r$  as 0.85. Assuming values of  $t_o$  and corresponding values of  $p_o$  and  $E_m$  for a given condition of airspeed and effective heat input  $q$ , equations (1), (4), and (5) were solved simultaneously by trial and error for the limiting values of liquid-water content. This value of liquid-water content represents the maximum value for the assumed conditions for which all the impinging water will be evaporated within the heated surface area of the radome. An airspeed of 600 miles per hour was assumed throughout the study together with an effective heat density of 2100 Btu per hour per square foot, equal to  $4\frac{1}{4}$  watts per square inch. This heat density was considered to be uniform over the surface area of the radome.

#### Running-Wet Condition

For the case in which the coldest point on the radome is just  $32^\circ F$  and no ice forms, the following solution was used:

The local rate of impingement is given by

$$m = 0.3296 V_o w \beta \quad (6)$$

where the local collection efficiency  $\beta$  was determined from the data of reference 3 and unpublished experimental data.

By use of the relative heat factor  $b = c_w m/h$ , equation (1) for this condition may be written

$$(32-t_0) (1+b) + \frac{2.82 LK}{P_0} \left( \frac{0.18}{P_1/P_0} - e_0 \right) \\ = \frac{V_0^2}{5 \times 10^4} \left[ \frac{1 - \left( \frac{V_1}{V_0} \right)^2 (1-r)}{c_p} + b \right] + \frac{q}{h} \quad (7)$$

For this case,  $L$  was taken as 1075 Btu per pound. Preliminary calculations at various points along the radome surface indicated that the coldest region on the radome occurs at the rearmost point on the radome. The limit of impingement was also found to be very close to this rearmost point. This results in a value of the wetness factor  $K$  of 1.0. As in the case for complete evaporation, equations (6) and (7) were solved for the limiting value of liquid-water content for various air temperatures at the assumed airspeed and effective heat density values. In addition, the required value of liquid-water content for a running-wet surface for the case of an unheated radome was obtained by setting the  $q/h$  term in equation (7) equal to zero.

#### Icing Conditions

The degree of protection afforded a vulnerable aircraft component by a thermal icing protection system is dependent upon the icing conditions that will be encountered as well as upon the availability of heat and the system efficiency. Thus, in the design and in the appraisal of a protection system, the expected icing conditions must be studied and established in order to obtain answers that are of reasonable engineering validity. The important variables that must be considered in defining an icing condition are the cloud liquid-water content, the water droplet size and size distribution, the air temperature and pressure, and the extent and frequency of occurrence of a particular type of cloud. Extensive studies of these factors and their combinations have been made by the NACA. Statistical studies of icing conditions and methods of determining the proper combination of the important icing variables have been reported in references 4 and 5.

In the selection of the variables defining an icing condition, the collection efficiency of the body must also be considered since it is as important as the cloud water content and cloud extent in determining the severity of a particular icing condition. The collection efficiency

of the radomes considered in this analysis was used (as indicated in ref. 4) to determine the particular combination of values of liquid-water content and droplet size for a particular frequency of occurrence that resulted in the maximum rate of impingement.

2842 Since the heat requirements for a thermal protection system are dependent on the air temperature, it was decided to employ a temperature-altitude relation representative of icing conditions. Reference 4 presents the observed variation of air temperature and pressure altitude in icing conditions. Considerable variation in air temperature for a given altitude is shown by these data; and for this reason and also to obtain a more realistic basis for appraisal of the protection system, the temperature-altitude curves shown in figure 1 were selected. One curve represents the average of the data of reference 4, while the second curve is a fairing through the points of lowest temperature reported in reference 4. The NACA standard atmosphere is also given in figure 1 for comparative purposes. From these temperature-altitude relations and from an assumed exceedence probability of 1 in 1000, the particular combinations of liquid-water content and droplet size which gave maximum rate of impingement were chosen from the curves of reference 5. The liquid-water content and droplet size corresponding to cumulus clouds were taken for the low-temperature condition as representing extremely severe icing conditions, while layer cloud values were taken for the average temperature conditions as being typical of average icing conditions, especially with respect to extent of the condition and as the limit for which the full evaporation system would provide protection. The resultant curves of liquid-water content and droplet size against air temperature are shown in figure 2. The droplet size distribution assumed in the analysis is shown in figure 3.

#### Miscellaneous Assumptions

In addition to the icing conditions, several other factors were assumed for the purposes of the analysis. Two radome configurations were investigated, half-sections of which are shown in figure 4, together with the pertinent dimensions. Both radomes, which were assumed to be nose installations, were portions of ellipsoidal bodies of revolution. It was assumed that protection was required to the rear of the radomes.

The convective heat-transfer coefficient was calculated from unpublished experimental data obtained from tests of similar bodies in the icing research tunnel.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of this analysis are presented for the two radome configurations for the assumed icing conditions.

## A-Radome

Low-temperature cumulus condition. - The performance of the protection system for the blunt A-radome at the low-temperature cumulus-cloud condition is shown in figure 5. The assumed icing conditions taken from figure 2 are designated as the icing limit, and it is assumed that no protection is necessary for conditions to the left of this curve. For the case in which no heat is applied to the radome, an ice-free running-wet surface for certain conditions results from the kinetic temperature rise. This condition is obtained for all temperatures above approximately 2° F (equivalent to approximately 8000 ft). With the assumed heat density of  $4\frac{1}{2}$  watts per square inch, the limit for the ice-free running-wet condition becomes approximately -18° F. For all air temperatures to the right of this curve and for the values of liquid-water content shown, the surface temperature will be equal to or greater than 32° F with varying percentages of the impinging water being evaporated. As indicated by both the heated and unheated running-wet curves, the requirement for this condition is almost independent of variations in liquid-water content and is almost entirely dependent upon the air temperature.

For the case of full evaporation, in which the radome surface is maintained dry, the limiting liquid-water content varies from approximately 0.45 gram per cubic meter at -30° F to 0.5 gram per cubic meter at 20° F. The surface will be kept dry for all liquid-water and air-temperature conditions below the full evaporation curve. For the case of full evaporation, the calculated average surface temperature varied from approximately 63° to 76° F. In contrast to the case of the running-wet condition, the requirement for full evaporation is almost independent of variations in air temperature.

The area below the icing-condition-limit curve that is not protected either by full evaporation or the running-wet condition is seen to be rather small. In this region, ice resulting from both direct water impingement and from runback and refreezing on the radome surface will be obtained.

In order to obtain an estimate of the heat density required for protection over the full range of expected icing conditions, calculations were made of the variation of the heat requirement with water content

2842 and with air temperature for the full evaporation and running-wet systems, respectively, at specific values of altitude, droplet size, temperature, and liquid-water content. These results are presented in figures 6 and 7 for the A-radome. The effect of kinetic heating is indicated in figure 6 by the evaporation of water up to 0.09 gram per cubic meter without the application of heat. The heat requirement for full evaporation is seen to vary almost linearly with liquid-water content; the heat requirement for a running-wet surface also approaches a linear relation with air temperature. From an extrapolation of the relations of figure 6, it is determined that protection by means of full evaporation alone over the full range of icing conditions would require an effective heat density of approximately 14 watts per square inch. Full protection by a combination of the evaporation and running-wet surface systems over the entire range of expected icing conditions could be achieved with an effective heat density of approximately 5 watts per square inch.

Average-temperature layer-cloud condition. - The performance of the thermal protection system for the A-radome at the average-temperature layer-cloud condition is shown in figure 8. In this case the assumed effective heat density of  $4\frac{1}{4}$  watts per square inch is sufficient to provide protection over the entire range of icing conditions. Full evaporation of the impinging water is obtained up to approximately 0.45 gram per cubic meter corresponding to an altitude of approximately 9800 feet and a temperature of 12° F. A heat density of 6.5 watts per square inch would provide full evaporation over the whole range of icing conditions. The minimum heat density that would provide protection by a combination of systems (full evaporation and running-wet surface) is approximately 2.5 watts per square inch. The limit for the no-heat running-wet surface condition is 5.5° F as compared with 2° F for the low-temperature cumulus-cloud condition (fig. 5).

#### B-Radome

Similar results for the narrow B-radome are presented in figure 9 for the low-temperature condition. The limits of protection for the running-wet surface condition both with and without heat are approximately 2° F less than for the blunt A-radome. The average limiting liquid-water content for full evaporation is approximately 0.53 gram per cubic meter as against 0.48 gram per cubic meter for the A-radome. Thus, despite a considerable difference in both fineness ratio and size of the two radome configurations, the performance of the protection systems is almost the same. For this reason the results for the B-radome at the average-temperature layer-cloud condition have been omitted.



## CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the analysis presented herein it was necessary to make several assumptions. It is believed that most of these assumptions, including the icing conditions, are of reasonable validity. The most important assumptions that might be questioned are the impingement efficiency and the assumption of an average or uniform effective heat density. The impingement efficiencies used in the analysis are based upon the values for spheres given in reference 3 and upon experimental results for similar radomes obtained at lower airspeeds. For the case of the running-wet surface, the value of the assumed local impingement efficiency is relatively unimportant since, as shown by the results of the analysis, the heat requirement is almost independent of the amount of water caught. For the case of full evaporation the heat requirement, as indicated by the results of figure 6, is directly dependent on the amount of water caught. The total collection efficiencies are regarded as accurate within at least  $\pm 10$  percent. Based upon the results of figure 6, the heat requirements in the range of interest have approximately the same degree of accuracy.

A more important limitation of the results for the case of full evaporation is the fact that a uniform heat density over the radome surface was assumed and the calculations were made on an average basis rather than by computing the system performance on a point-to-point basis from the radome nose aft. The attainment of both a uniform heat density and surface temperature is impossible and, practically, even the attainment of uniform heat density would be extremely difficult. It is believed, however, that the use of average values in the calculation of the performance of the protection system is valid for the purposes of this analysis as indicating within the limits of engineering accuracy the order of magnitude of the limits of performance of the protection system and the variation of these limits with the important icing variables.

The results of the analysis have indicated that icing protection of a radome by a thermal protection system can be achieved with reasonable values of heat density even at extreme combinations of operating and icing conditions. For full evaporation of all impinging water, an effective heat density of 14 watts per square inch is required. By employing a running-wet surface system over part of the temperature range and full evaporation over the remainder, protection over the full range of icing conditions can be achieved with an effective heat density of 5 watts per square inch. The heat requirement for full evaporation is dependent primarily upon the rate of water catch or, in terms of the icing condition, the cloud liquid-water content. For the running-wet

surface condition, the heat requirement is primarily a function of the ambient air temperature. The use of the running-wet surface system will be dependent not only on the effects of a water film on radar operation but also on the tolerance of the aircraft for runback ice formations aft of the radome.

Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory  
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics  
Cleveland, Ohio

## APPENDIX - SYMBOLS

The following symbols are used in this report:

A	area, sq ft
b	relative heat factor, $mc_w/h$ , dimensionless
$c_p$	specific heat of air at constant pressure, 0.24 Btu/(lb)(°F)
$c_w$	specific heat of liquid water, 1.0 Btu/(lb)(°F)
d	droplet diameter, microns
$E_m$	collection efficiency, dimensionless
e	partial pressure of water vapor, (corresponding to saturated air), in. Hg
g	acceleration due to gravity, 32.2 ft/sec <sup>2</sup>
h	dry convective heat-transfer coefficient, Btu/(hr)(sq ft)(°F)
J	mechanical equivalent of heat, 778 (ft)(lb)/Btu
K	surface wetness fraction, dimensionless
L	latent heat of vaporization of water, Btu/lb
m	rate of interception of water, lb/(hr)(sq ft)
p	absolute static pressure, in. Hg
q	effective surface heat density, Btu/(hr)(sq ft) or watts/sq in.
r	kinetic energy recovery factor, dimensionless
t	temperature, °F
V	air velocity, ft/sec
w	cloud liquid-water content, g/cu m
$\beta$	local collection efficiency, dimensionless

## Subscripts:

av	average value
e	evaporation value
p	projected in stream direction
s	surface value
O	stream value
l	local value

## REFERENCES

1. Gelder, Thomas F., Lewis, James P., and Koutz, Stanley L.: Icing Protection for a Turbojet Transport Airplane: Heating Requirements, Methods of Protection, and Performance Penalties. NACA TN 2866, 1953.
2. Gray, Vernon H.: Simple Graphical Solution of Heat Transfer and Evaporation from Surface Heated to Prevent Icing. NACA TN 2799, 1952.
3. Langmuir, Irving, and Blodgett, Katherine B.: A Mathematical Investigation of Water Droplet Trajectories. Tech. Rep. No. 5418, Air Materiel Command, AAF, Feb. 19, 1946. (Contract No. W-33-038-ac-9151 with General Elec. Co.).
4. Hacker, Paul T., and Dorsch, Robert G.: A Summary of Meteorological Conditions Associated with Aircraft Icing and a Proposed Method of Selecting Design Criteria for Ice-Protection Equipment. NACA TN 2569, 1951.
5. Lewis, William, and Bergrun, Norman R.: A Probability Analysis of the Meteorological Factors Conducive to Aircraft Icing in the United States. NACA TN 2738, 1952.






Figure 1. - Assumed temperature-pressure relations for icing conditions.



(a) Liquid-water content.



(b) Median droplet diameter.

Figure 2. - Variation of cloud liquid-water content and droplet size with air temperature.




Figure 3. - Assumed droplet size distribution.




Figure 4. - Assumed radome configurations.






Figure 5. - Performance of protection system at low-temperature, cumulus-cloud conditions. A-Radome; airspeed, 600 miles per hour; effective power density, 2100 Btu per hour per square foot, or  $4\frac{1}{4}$  watts per square inch.




Figure 6. - Variation of heat required for full evaporation with liquid-water content. A-Radome; airspeed, 600 miles per hour; pressure altitude, 15,000 feet; temperature,  $-11.5^{\circ}\text{F}$ ; droplet diameter, 20 microns.




Figure 7. - Variation of heat required for running-wet surface with air temperature. A-Radome; airspeed, 600 miles per hour; pressure altitude, 20,000 feet.




Figure 8. - Performance of protection system at average-temperature, layer-cloud conditions. A-Radome; airspeed, 600 miles per hour; effective power density, 2100 Btu per hour per square foot, or  $4\frac{1}{4}$  watts per square inch.




Figure 9. - Performance of protection system at low-temperature, cumulus-cloud condition. B-Radome; airspeed, 600 miles per hour; effective power density, 2100 Btu per hour per square foot, or  $4\frac{1}{4}$  watts per square inch.